



{Reg. Post} Advocate, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, (9814924851)
R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,
District- Ludhiana. 141101.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Deputy Commissioner of Police
Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

{Reg. Post} **Public Information Officer**
O/o Thana Salem Tabri,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Police commissioner
Mini Secretariat, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.2593 of 2019

Present: Appellant: Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta (On Telephone Call)
Respondent: Smt. Asha Rani (Constable)

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference previous order dated 05.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Respondent (from Thana Salem Tabri, Ludhiana) Smt. Asha Rani is present in person in the Commission and has brought point wise reply/information to the points enumerated in the RTI application filed by the appellant.
3. Appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta is present on the telephone call.
4. After hearing both the parties and going through the submission made by the respondent the commission has directed to send the copy of information duly attested to the appellant through registered post. On other hand **the appellant is advised to peruse the contents and point out deficiencies, if any on its receipt.**

In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an undertaking/affidavit may be filled in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission without fail.

5. To come up on **19.05.2021** through **Cisco Webex** at **10:30 am**.
{Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting< Write Meeting No. – 1581884645 }

NOTE: All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



{Reg. Post} Advocate, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, (9814924851)

R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,
District- Ludhiana. 141101.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

{Reg. Post} Public Information Officer

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural),
Jagraon, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o cum Deputy Inspector General of Police,
Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.2604 of 2019

Present: Appellant: Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta (On Telephone Call)

Respondent: Sh. Jagdish Singh (ASI)

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference previous order dated 05.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Respondent, Sh. Jagdish Singh pleaded that the sought information has been supplied to the appellant after offering him record inspection and by providing him the document so identified by him. The receiving letter of the appellant was submitted by the respondent Sh. Jagdish Singh to the bench of undersigned.
3. On this, appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta contended that the supplied information is not properly attested and is partial in nature.
4. After hearing both the parties and going through the submission going through the case file the commission has directed to send the copy of information/reply duly attested to the appellant through registered post. On other hand **the appellant is advised to peruse the contents and point out deficiencies, if any on its receipt.**

In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an undertaking/affidavit may be filled in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission without fail.

5. To come up on **19.05.2021** through **Cisco Webex** at **10:30 am**.

{Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting< Write Meeting No. – 1581884645 }

NOTE: All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Advocate, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, (9814924851)
R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,
District- Ludhiana. 141101.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Secretary, Home,
Punjab Secretariat, Sector-1, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Public Information Officer,
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police (Rural),
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority
O/o Secretary, Home,
Punjab Secretariat, Sector-1, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.3392 of 2019

Present: Appellant: Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta (On Telephone Call)
Respondent: Sh. Jagdish Singh (ASI) (Police Station, Dakha, Ldh.)

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference previous order dated 05.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

Facts emerging during the hearing:

2. Respondent, Sh. Jagdish Singh pleaded that the sought information has been supplied to the appellant after offering him record inspection and by providing him the document so identified by him. The receiving letter of the appellant was submitted by the respondent Sh. Jagdish Singh to the bench of undersigned.
3. On this, appellant, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta contended that **ONLY** the information pertaining to police station Dhaka has been supplied and information pertaining to other police station is still pending.

On perusal of the RTI application it is noted that as per RTI ACT 2005, :- If a person makes an application to a public authority for information, a part of which is available with that public authority and the rest of the information is scattered with more than one other public authorities, in such a case, the PIO of the public authority receiving the application should give information relating to it and advise the applicant to make separate applications to the concerned public authorities for obtaining information from them. If no part of the information sought, is available with it but is scattered with more than one other public authorities, the PIO should inform the applicant that information is not available with the public authority and that the applicant should make separate applications to the concerned public authorities for obtaining information from them. However, if the details of public authorities who may have the information sought by the applicant are available with the PIO, such details may also be provided to the applicant.

Appeal Case No.3392 of 2019

Decision :

4. In wake of this, the respondents are directed to provide up-to-date status before the next date of hearing, if any, to the appellant with a copy to the Commission.

However, the Commission advises the appellant, an advocate himself, who is presumed to know the law, to restrain himself from abuse by filing such RTI application.

In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an undertaking/affidavit may be filled in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission without fail.

5. To come up on **19.05.2021** through **Cisco Webex** at **10:30 am**.

{Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting< Write Meeting No. – 1581884645 }

NOTE: All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Advocate, Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta, (9814924851)
R/o 8/237, Jagraon Road, Mandi Mullanpur,
District- Ludhiana. 141101.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,
Punjab Police Headquarters,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,
Punjab Police Headquarters,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No.3393 of 2019

Present: Appellant: Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta (On Telephone Call)
Respondent: Sh. Jagdish Singh (ASI) (Police Station, Dakha, Ldh.)

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference previous order dated 05.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

Facts emerging during the hearing:

2. Appellant: Sh. Rakesh Kumar Gupta stated that no information has been supplied so far.
3. Respondent, Sh. Jagdish Singh pleaded the information pertaining to PS Dakha has been supplied to the appellant.

On perusal of the RTI application it is noted that as per RTI ACT 2005, :- If a person makes an application to a public authority for information, a part of which is available with that public authority and the rest of the information is scattered with more than one other public authorities, in such a case, the PIO of the public authority receiving the application should give information relating to it and advise the applicant to make separate applications to the concerned public authorities for obtaining information from them. If no part of the information sought, is available with it but is scattered with more than one other public authorities, the PIO should inform the applicant that information is not available with the public authority and that the applicant should make separate applications to the concerned public authorities for obtaining information from them. However, if the details of public authorities who may have the information sought by the applicant are available with the PIO, such details may also be provided to the applicant.

Appeal Case No.3393 of 2019

Decision :

4. In wake of this, the respondents are directed to provide up-to-date status before the next date of hearing, if any, to the appellant with a copy to the Commission.

However, the Commission advises the appellant, an advocate himself, who is presumed to know the law, to restrain himself from abuse by filing such RTI application.

In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an undertaking/affidavit may be filled in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission without fail.

5. To come up on **19.05.2021** through **Cisco Webex** at **10:30 am**.

{Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting< Write Meeting No. – 1581884645 }

NOTE: All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Smt. Laveena Jindal Alias Laveena Bansal, (9855654796)

D/o Hukam Chand Jindal,
#321, W No. 25, Mohalla Madhopuri,
Malerkotla-148023
.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

.....Respondent
O/o District Educational Officer (SE),
Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director of Public Instruction (SE),
S.A.S Nagar (Mohali).

Appeal Case No.3923 of 2019

Present: Appellant: Smt. Laveena Jindal
Respondent: Absent

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference previous order dated 23.12.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. Appellant, Smt. Laveena Jindal stated that partial information has been supplied so far by the respondent authority and so without any attestation.
3. Despite the Show Cause notice issued to him, the respondent PIO not only failed to appear at the hearing on 07.04.21, but also did not bother to file any written reply to the Commission.
4. This Commission offers the respondent PIO one final opportunity to furnish the information requested by the appellant, and demonstrate compliance with the previous Orders of the Commission.

In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an undertaking/affidavit may be filled in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission without fail.

5. To come up on **26.05.2021** through **Cisco Webex** at **10:30 am**.
{Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting< Write Meeting No. – 1581884645 }

NOTE: All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Jagdish Chander (Advocate), (9560327555)

R/o House No. 735, Sector-6,
Urban Estate, Karnal-132001, Haryana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o EO, Nagar Council, Zirakpur,
Distt Mohali.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Local Govt.
Patiala.

Appeal Case No.569 of 2020

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jagdish Chander

Respondent: Sh. Lakhvir Singh (Building Inspector),
(On Telephone Call) 9914780020

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference previous order dated 05.01.2021. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.
2. From a perusal of the relevant case records, it is noted that the appellant is aggrieved with the fact that the desired information was not provided to him and instead he was requested to inspect the documents
3. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties, it is evident that no satisfactory reply had been provided by the respondent in the matter, which is a grave violation of the provisions of the [RTI Act](#), 2005.
4. A final opportunity is given to respondent PIO to submit a written reply/supply information and to be present the case in person through Cisco Webex , before initiation of penal proceedings against him/her.

In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an undertaking/affidavit may be filled in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission without fail.

5. To come up on **26.05.2021** through **Cisco Webex** at **10:30 am**.

{Steps: Install Cisco Webex < Click on Join Meeting< Write Meeting No. – 1581884645 }

NOTE: All documents / information supplied to appellants / complainants must mandatorily be attested as: Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005, Signature of the PIO, Name of the PIO, Name of the Public Authority, Date.

Chandigarh
Dated: 07.04.2021

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner